

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,559	02/11/2000	SARA W. BOEHMER	FL1049	6181
23906 75	590 01/28/2003			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
4417 LANCAS				
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	٨٦
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	Γd

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			41/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(14)				
	09/485,559	BOEHMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Virginia Manoharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the second period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this council D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status	Jacombar 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 L</u>							
,	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) $\frac{1-11}{2}$ is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Wit from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)	5 p. 1011, and 00 0.0.0, 33 120	r uniter of the fi					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 1764

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The proviso "when the halocarbon is 1, 1, 1-trifluoro ethane CHFC-143 a), the extractive agent may not be an alcohol" is nowhere in the specification. In fact, page 8, Table 6, shows the extractive agent being used is methanol (an alcohol). That is, the "Infinite Dilution Activity Coefficients at 0C" for HFC-143a is specified to be 7.71. See also Table 12, page 22 showing of removing HFC-143a from HFC-32 using methanol as the agent.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. It is unclear how a mere contracting step in claim 1, e.g., the contacting of the first mixture with an extractive agent produces a second mixture; and what constitute the second mixture formed from the contacting step and later being extractively distilled within the context of the claimed invention?
- b. It is not clear whether the "at least one halocarbon of the second mixture" in claim 1, lines 6-7 from the bottom, different or the same as the initially recited "halocarbon" in claim 1, lines 2-5.?
- c. What constitute the extractive distillation agent in the step of "extractively distilling the second mixture" and the products produced of this step? They should be recited in the claims foe completeness.

Art Unit: 1764

d. The "extractive agent", recited in claim 8, lacks antecedent support.

e. An azeotrope is normally defined by its composition and pressure parameters. The parameters are not specified in claim 11.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e)as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mahhler et al or XP000446558 UK (GB) document.

Art Unit: 1764

Mahler is applied for the same reasons as set forth at page 4 of the previous office action.

The above document discloses the used of hydrocarbon as the agent in an extractive distillation process.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahler et al in view of EP '362.

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at the paragraph bridging pages 4-5 of the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed January 30, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to applicants' assertion, claim 1 recitation of "alcohols represented by the formula $C_xH_{2x}+1$ O H where x is from 1 to 3" does not exclude the alcohol e.g., methanol, ethanol and propanol of Mahler et al.

However, EP '362 feed material includes materials belonging to the same chlorinated hydrocarbon as the claimed invention and uses the same agents e.g., hydrocarbons, alcohols and ketones in the same purification process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Glenn Caldarolacan be reached on (703) 308-6421. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1764

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9311 for regular communications and (703) 308-0651 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Examiner Manoharan . January 26, 2003

176u

1/26/03